Diffraction techniques *instrumental components*

G. Artioli

Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra Università di Milano

artioli@iummix.terra.unimi.it

the target of the lecture is that by the end you should know:

what is an XRPD experiment ?
why should we do it ?
how can we perfor XRPD experiments ?

 \checkmark try to focus on these main questions

- \checkmark try to follow the details if you can, or ask
- \checkmark or write down the questions for tomorrow's exercise session

diffraction

- elastic scattering
- kinematic approximation (theory of "ideally imperfect" crystal)
- geometrical interpretation by Bragg/Ewald/Laue

 $2d_{hkl}\sin\theta = n\lambda$

• direct space $\mathbf{\hat{U}}$ reciprocal space relationship

$$F_{\infty}(\overrightarrow{r^*}) = F_{M}(\overrightarrow{H}) \sum_{\substack{h,k,l \\ -\infty}}^{\infty} d(\overrightarrow{r^*} - \overrightarrow{r_{H}^*})$$
$$F_{M}(\overrightarrow{r^*}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j}(\overrightarrow{r^*}) \exp(2pi\overrightarrow{r}\cdot\overrightarrow{r^*})$$

XRPD experiment: what is it?

• we want to measure the intensity distribution in the reciprocal space

- position of diffraction peaks (2 θ , E, ToF \Rightarrow d_{hkl})
- intensity ($I_{hkl} \propto |F_{hkl}|^2$)
- peak profile shape ($H(2\theta) = f(2\theta) \otimes g(2\theta)$)
- a correct experiment assumes:
 - the **homogeneous distribution** of crystallites in the sample
 - the **homogeneous probing** of the material by the beam
 - the **statistically correct** measurement of intensity



FIGURE 10.11 Diffraction of monochromatic x-rays from (a) a single crystal and (b) an aggregate of small mineral fragments. (c) Diffraction cones produced by the powder method.



(From top to bottom). Fig. 197; Single-crystal rotation photograph of fluorite [100] vertical: Fig. 198; Single-crystal rotation photograph of fluorite [100] 2° to vertical: Fig. 199; X-ray photograph of five randomly oriented crystals of fluorite: Fig. 200; Powder photograph of fluorite.

XRPD experiment



focusing geometry



focusing geometry



Fig. 17-12. Bent-crystal monochromators. (A) Symmetric transmission arrangement; (B) symmetric back-reflection arrangement; (C) Guinier asymmetric arrangement; (D) Seeman-Bohlin arrangement.

Debye-Scherrer geometry



parafocusing geometry



XRPD experiment: why?

to characterize materials

to characterize processes





African School and Workshop on X-rays in Materials - Dakar 2005

can you make glass with this sand ?



can you make bricks with this mud ?



Magnitude 6.8 - LAKE TANGANYIKA REGION, CONGO-TANZANIA 2005 December 5 12:19:57 UTC

Preliminary Earthquake Report U.S. Geological Survey, National Earthquake Information Center <u>World Data Center</u> for Seismology, Denver

A strong earthquake occurred at 12:19:57 (UTC) on Monday, December 5, 2005. The magnitude 6.8 event has been located in the LAKE TANGANYIKA REGION, CONGO-TANZANIA. (This event has been reviewed by a seismologist.)



X-Ray Diffraction for Solid State Pharmaceutical Products.





Erythromycin A dihydrate







Environmental



Pharmaceuticals



Petroleum Industry



Cement



Construction



Mining

XRPD experiment: why?

XRD is used

• to characterize the materials (at equilibrium conditions)

- phase identification and quantification
- crystal structure
- crystal chemistry
- structure dynamics (Debye-Waller factors)
- to characterize the processes (kinetics, non-ambient)
 - phase transformation
 - reaction kinetics

XRPD experiment: what do we measure ?



measurements vs infos





XRPD experiment: how ?



- you need to configure your setup based on your needs
- *in most cases a standard instruments satisfy primary needs for qualitative and quantitative analysis*
- modern instrumentation allows easy change of the optics and the geometry by the use of modular components

XRPD experiment: components

The choice of the components (of the data collection parameters, of the analytical strategies) depends on your target

- ⇒ phase qualitative analysis (identification)
- \Rightarrow phase quantitative analysis
- \Rightarrow structure analysis
- \Rightarrow microstructural analysis/texture
- \Rightarrow chemical reactions/phase transformation/kinetics

XRPD experiment: ideal

- **source** \Rightarrow intense, well collimated, parallel beam
- $\mathbf{1,E} \Rightarrow \text{optimal}$ (bandpass, absorption, fluorescence, etc.)
- **side measurements** ⇒ primary beam attenuation, sample absorption, sample fluorescence
- sample ⇒ ideal shape and particles (strain-free, homogeneous particle size, no texture, no preferred orientation, etc.)
- **detector** \Rightarrow efficient, max coverage of reciprocal space
- reciprocal space resolution \Rightarrow max
- instrumental aberrations ⇒ min, ab initon modeling of the peak profile shape
- experimental noise \Rightarrow min
- sample conditioning \Rightarrow flexible

data quality

affected by

flux/quality of the source
quality/performance of the optics
amount/shape of the sample
type/efficiency of the detector
counting time

source



important source characteristics

- \Rightarrow total flux at the sample
- \Rightarrow stability in time
- ⇒ beam homogeneity
- \Rightarrow divergence
- \Rightarrow energy distribution

source features



Fig. 2.2. Phenomenological representation of the Cu K_{α} emission profile based on four Lorentzians (from [19])

source features



Fig. 2.3. $CuK\alpha$ emission profile showing the satellite group of lines and the extent of the tails from the $K\alpha 1$ and $K\alpha 2$ emission lines. This profile was recorded using the 400 line from a silicon single crystal wafer (from [19])

source features



sample geometry

• flat

- reflection
 - "infinitely" thick sample
 - transparent sample / thin films
 - diluted samples / precipitates / aerodispersed filters
- transmission
- cylindrical
 - air sensitive sample
- irregular-rough
 - art / archaeological samples
 - industrial / mechanical components

detector characteristics

detective quantum efficiency

- (DQE= N_{a}/N_{i}) ratio between detected photons N_{a} and incoming photons N_{i}
- dynamic range
 - (**DR** = I_{max}/I_{min}) ratio between maximum and minimum detectable signal
 - the maximum detectable signal I_{max} is limited by linearity
 - the minimum detectable signal I_{min} depends on the intrinsic noise of the system

count rate linearity

- is referred to the linearity of the **DQE** with respect to the incident flux
- the secondary signal produced by each photon must be constant in the whole dynamic range (DR)
- sensitivity
 - (S = dl/dj) current variation dl produced by a variation dj of the incident photon flux
 - it determines the minimum number of photons detectable per unit time
- energy resolution/proportionality/sensitivity
- spatial resolution/uniformity (active area)
- data acquisition rate (dead time, time stability)

X-ray detectors



X-ray detectors

	gas ionization	phosphors	semi- conductors	other
spot 0-D	proportional counters	scintillators	solid state Si(Li), Ge(Li)	
linear 1-D	gas linear PSD		photo-diode arrays	
area 2-D	multiwires	phosphors IP	CCD	films

X-ray detectors: gas



X-ray detectors: gas



FIG. 2. Schematic of the formation of an avalanche after absorption of an x-ray photon in a proportional detector (modified from Ref. 6; shape of the avalanche obtained by simulation (10]). The insert displays the output signal of the cathode preamplifiers (PA). The scale of the insert corresponds to 250 mV vertically and 470 ns horizontally.

X-ray detectors: gas



X-ray detectors: SSD


X-ray detectors: SSD



X-ray detectors: CCD



X-ray detectors: CCD



X-ray detectors: CCD



Figure 3: Time-resolved evolution of a fast reaction studied by in situ synchrotron powder diffractometry. Each spectrum was collected at ESRF ID11 beamline for about 20 ms, using a CCD Frelon camera.

X-ray detectors: IP



X-ray detectors: IP













optics

- collimators / fenditures
 - they define the shape of the beam incident on the sample
 - they limit the angular divergence of the beam
- mirrors
 - they modify the direction/focusing/divergence of the beam
- monochromators
 - they modify the energy distribution of the beam

nowadays there are a number of hybrid / multifunctional optical elements

collimators / fenditures





fenditures



fenditures







illuminated area vs detector



mirrors

the *true mirrors* are based on the principle of total reflection, occurring at incident angles smaller than the critical angle γ
they are made by coatings of materials with different Z, typically W, Pt, Au on Si

 $n = 1 - \delta - i\mu \implies \gamma = (2 \delta)^{1/2} = [1^{\circ}@1 \text{keV}] = [0.1^{\circ}@45 \text{keV}]$



multilayers

• the *multilayers* are based on diffraction, that is **Bragg's Law** at incident angles lower than the critical angle γ

- $\ensuremath{\bullet}$ they are made by alternating layers with high and low Z
- they extend the mirror reflectivity



X-rays in Materials - Dakar 2005

multilayers reflectivity/focusing



multilayers reflectivity/focusing



focusing







Collimating Multilayer Optic



parallel beam



parallel beam



monochromators

- filters
- flat crystals
- curved crystals





monochromators

• double crystal / channel cut



monochromators

- multilayers
- diffraction grating



monochromators / focusing



Fig. 42. Plane-concentrating monochromator. Variation of G, ratio of intrinsic intensities from concentrating monochromator and from symmetrical monochromator, with angle β° (after Evans, Hirsch and Kellar, 1948).

(i) Theoretical variation taking account of absorption in the crystal.

(ii) Theoretical variation taking account of absorption in the crystal and in a non-reflecting surface layer.

Circles represent experimental values for a calcite crystal.

monochromators / focusing



the exp peak profile shape

- the measured peak profile is the convolution of all instrumental and sample parameters
- common exp aberrations:
 - axial divergence
 - sample shift
 - asymmetry
 - absorption/transparency



ideal peak profile shape

• ID31-ESRF is the closest we get to ideal:

- incident flux from undulator
- monochromator band pass: $\Delta\lambda/\lambda=10^{-4}$
- collection time: minutes
- optimal signal/noise ratio
- instrumental peak broadening: FWHM<0.001 °

>how does it compare to laboratory data ?

Debye geometry at ESRF: ID31



ideal vs real data

30.0

30.0



real data



real data



standard configurations

geometry	goniometer	detector
diverging beam	Bragg-Brentano	proportional cnt
flat sample	(θ-θ or θ-2θ)	scintillator
(parafocusing)		SSD
convergent beam	capillary	gas linear PSD
capillary sample		image plate
(Debye)		
parallel beam	capillary	proportional cnt
(mirrors)	irregular sample	scintillator
		SSD

Bragg-Brentano upgrades

• SSD

- » it eliminates the fluorescence noise from the sample
- » it has a good dynamic range
- » it improves detection efficiency and counting statistics

primary monochromators

- » it eliminates $K\beta$ lines
- » it decreases the instrumental broadening

focusing/parallel beam mirrors

- » capillary sample
- » sample with irregular surface

long Soller slits on the diffracted beam

- » they improve the instrumental resolution
- » they improve the peak/noise ratio

Bragg-Brentano upgrades



instrumental optimization

- we always have to face the compromise between
 - intensity (short collection time good counting statistics acceptable peak/noise ratio)
 resolution (peak broadening peak shape)



